

Research and Special Programs Administration MAY 5 2004

400 Seventh Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20590

Ref. No.: 04-0023

Mr. Larry Holcombe Account Manager Haas TCM 1646 West Chester Pike West Chester, PA 19382

Dear Mr. Holcombe:

This responds to your letter concerning determination of the vapor pressure to calculate the test pressure for packages intended for air transportation in § 173.27 of the Hazardous Materials Regulations (HMR; 49 CFR Parts 171-180). Your questions are paraphrased and answered below:

- Q1. Is it correct to obtain the vapor pressure from engineering tables if the liquid consists of a single chemical component?
- A1. Yes, obtaining the vapor pressure for a liquid that consists of a single chemical component from engineering tables is acceptable.
- Q2. For liquids with multiple chemical components, you propose to use vapor pressure from engineering tables for the most volatile component at the specified temperature and set a vapor pressure threshold limit equal to 50% of minimum test pressure for the package. If the vapor pressure of the mixture exceeds the threshold limit, you further propose to measure the vapor pressure by laboratory testing. You ask if this approach is suitable.
- A2. We are unable to determine the suitability of your approach. The Hazardous Materials Regulations do not prescribe specific methods for determining the vapor pressure. The vapor pressure may be determined by testing or empirically. Any test method that leads to the determination that the package is capable of withstanding the pressure requirements in § 173.27 without leakage may be used.

I hope this determination is helpful.

Sincerely,

Hattie L. Mitchell

Chief, Regulatory Review and Reinvention

Office of Hazardous Materials Standards



040023

173.21



Haas TCM 1646 West Chester Pike West Chester, PA 19382

Phone: (610) 436-9840

(800) 220-4227 Fax: (610) 436-9076

www.haascorp.com

January 23, 2004

Frits Wybenga
Deputy Associate Administrator
U.S. Department of Transportation
DHM-1
400 7th St., S.W.
Washington, DC 20590-0001

Corbin \$ 173,27 Air 04-0023

202-366-0656

RE: 49 CFR 173.27 General requirements for transportation by aircraft

Dear Deputy Wybenga:

Part 173.27 of 49 Code of Federal Regulations requires that shippers ensure that containers of regulated liquids do not leak under conditions of air shipment. The methods stated in the regulations include using the vapor pressure of the liquid at specified temperatures (50 or 55 degrees Celsius) to determine container pressure capacities.

We request your Department's advice on the suitability of our proposed approach, below, for obtaining the needed vapor pressure data.

- 1. Where the liquid consists of a single chemical component we propose to use engineering tables to obtain the vapor pressure of the component at the specified temperatures.
- 2. Where the liquid consists of multiple chemical components we propose to select the most volatile component and use engineering tables to obtain the vapor pressure of that component at the specified temperatures.
 - a. Since the regulations specify a minimum packaging capacity (14 psig or 11 psig depending on the liquid, 49 CFR 173.27 c) 2) i)), we propose to set a threshold limit on our vapor pressure estimates equal to 50% of the minimum value for packaging requirements.
 - b. Any engineering estimate of a mixture that exceeds the threshold limit vapor pressure will automatically be set aside for laboratory testing to measure the vapor pressure.
- 3. We propose to run a pilot program to test the suitability of this approach. A sub-sample of the liquids where engineering estimates are made (per steps 1. and 2. above), and which were below the threshold limit will also be tested in the lab for the vapor pressure. The vapor pressure determined by the two methods, i.e., engineering estimate and lab test, will be used to calculate the required packaging.

Frits Wybenga January 23, 2004 Page 2

4. This approach of using a combination of engineering estimates and lab testing will be considered suitable if the pilot shows that both engineering estimates and lab tests agree 100% on the packaging requirements.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cline Thund for Larry Holcombe Account Manager

Haas TCM